



Denosumab: Summary of the LUMC clinical studies

Dr. Natasha Appelman-Dijkstra, internist-endocrinologist Leiden University Medical Center Silver Spring 2023



Denosumab in FD/MAS : a proof of concept

Dmab significantly decreased

• Bone turnover









Majoor et al JCEM 2019



Denosumab in FD/MAS : a proof of concept

1.B

Dmab significantly decreased

- Bone turnover
- Lesional activity on 18_NaF PET scans
- Lesion size
- Pain

1.A Percentage change ALP in patients with 3 monthly denosumab



Percentage change ALP in patients with 6 monthly denosumab









Meier Bone Reports 2021, van der Bruggen JCEM 2021

Majoor et al JCEM 2019

11-sep.-23

Denosumab in FD/MAS : a proof of concept

Dmab significantly decreased

- Bone turnover
- Lesional activity on 18_NaF PET scans
- Lesion size
- Pain

1.A Percentage change ALP in patients with 3 monthly denosumab



1.B

Percentage change ALP in patients with 6 monthly denosumab









Centre for Bone Quality

Bone turnover markers case 1





Bone turnover markers case 2

Start BP Stop BP, start Dmab

Denosumab discontinuation in BP pretreated patients

Centre for Bone Quality?



Time in months

Percentage change

Meier et al JBMR 2021

First author, year	Number of patients	Age patients (range/ age or mean)	Type of FD	Pain present	Previous BP treatment	Dmab (dose,interval)	Pain after dmab	Bone markers after dmab	Dmab effect on lesion on imaging
De Castro, 2023	8	19-54	7MAS, 1 CFD	Yes, median 6.4 (IQR 3.25)	-	120 mg every 4 weeks, with loading doses on weeks 2 and 3	Decreased	decreased	Decrease in lesion activity
Trojani, 2023	13	mean age 45 ± 14	4 MFD, 4 PFD, 5 MAS	Yes, severe (7.8/10 (±1.99)	Yes	Different schemes: 60 mg at 6 months, at 3 months 120 mg	Decreased, except one patient	-	Lesion decrease in one pt with cfd
Tucker- Bartley, 2023	2, one received dmab	24F;	MAS (CFD);	Yes	Yes	60 mg, 30 mg after one month, 30 mg after another month;	Decreased	-	-
Golden, 2022	2 ,one received dmab	21,F	Isolated cfd	Yes,severe (7-8/10)	No	120 mg at 6 months	Unchanged	-	-
Ikuta, 2021	1	27,F	MFD	Yes, severe (8/10)	No	120 mg days 1,8,15,29 and at 2 and 3 months	Decreased	TRACP-5b decreased	osteosclerotic changes
Meier 2021, JCEM	37	42 (19)	7MFD,21 PFD, 9 MAS	Yes 6.0 2.7 /10	Yes; 3 naive	60 or 120 mg of Dmab in intervals of 2, 3, 4, or 6 months	Decreased	ALP normalized(70 %); P1NP nor.alized	-

First author, year	Nu mb er of pati ents	Age patients (range/ age or mean)	Type of FD	Pain present	Bone markers before treatme nt	Previous BP treatment	Dmab (dose,interv al)	Pain after dmab	Bone markers after dmab	Dmab effect on lesion on imaging
Raborn 2021	1	13 F	Isolated cfd	Yes	increase d	Yes	1 mg/kg, monthly, 3.5 years	Decrease d,pain resolution after 3.5 years	Normalized	no further FD expansion and increased lesion density
Van der Bruggen, 2021	8	-	PFD	Yes	ALP, P1NP increase d	Yes	60 mg at 3 months; yes	Decrease d	Decreased	Decrease d disease activity
Gautam, 2020	1	45,F	PFD - reported Mas	Yes, severe	AF,P1NP, CTx increase d	Yes	60 mg, 120 mg, 120 mg 6 months interval	Decrease d	Normalized after 3 doses	-

First author, year	Nu mb er of pati ents	Age patients (range/ age or mean)	Type of FD	Pain present	Bone markers before treatme nt	Previous BP treatment	Dmab (dose,interv al)	Pain after dmab	Bone markers after dmab	Dmab effect on lesion on imaging
Majoor, 2019	12	-	7PFD,4MA S, 1 severe CFD	Yes	AF, P1NP, CTx increase d	Yes,	60 mg at 6 months and 60 mg every 3 months	Decrease d in 10 of 12; Resolutio n in 6	Decreased, Normalized	-
Eller- Vainicher, 2016	1	20M	CFD (mfd- mandible)	Yes,seve re 8- 9/10	CTX upper normal, osteocal cin, b- ALP normal	yes	60 mg at 3 months	Pain resolution	Decrease	-
Benhamo u, 2014	1	46M	PFD (rib <i>,</i> T1)	yes	CTX increase d	Yes	60 mg at 6 months (two doses)	Pain resolution after 1 st dose	Decreased after 1 st	-
Ganda,	2	44F;48	CFD+PFD	Yes,	P1NP	Yes	1:60 mg,	Decrease	Normalized	-

First author, year	Nu mb er of pati ents	Age patients (range/ age or mean)	Type of FD	Pain present	Bone markers before treatme nt	Previous BP treatment	Dmab (dose,interv al)	Pain after dmab	Bone markers after dmab	Dmab effect on lesion on imaging
Ganda, 2014	2	44F;48 M	CFD+PFD	Yes, severe	P1NP increase d; b-ALP, P1NP increase d	Yes	 1:60 mg, second dose after 9 months, third after 6 months; 2:60 mg at 4 months 	Decrease d	Normalized	-
Boyce 2012	1	9, M	MAS	Yes, severe	P1NP, CTx increase d	Yes	7 months, monthly 1 mg/ kg increased with 0.25 every 3 months	Decrease d	Reduction f bone markers	

2 ongoing trials





Denosumab for pain in FD/MAS: Multicenter placebo controlled trial in **adults NCT05966064**





Denosumab in FD/MAS: open label proof of concept trial on lesion development in children



- Adult patients with FDMAS
- Pain score from FD lesion for maximum or average pain on VAS ≥ 4



- Adult patients with FDMAS
- Pain score from FD lesion for maximum or average pain on VAS ≥ 4



- Denosumab 120 mg vs Placebo
- 3 monthly double blinded for 6 months
- Followed by open label for 6 months



- Adult patients with FDMAS
- Pain score from FD lesion for maximum or average pain on VAS ≥ 4

- Denosumab 120 mg vs Placebo
- 3 monthly double blinded for 6 months
- Followed by open label for 6 months



- Difference in maximum pain score after 6 months
- Dmab effect on Quality of life, physical activity
- Evaluation of possible neuropathic component of the reported pain
- Evaluation of analgesics use Evaluation of changes in mobility
- Dmab effect on FD lesion size and activity
- Dmab effect on bone density

Objective: To investigate whether 3 monthly Dmab will improve the clinical, radiological and biochemical manifestations of FD bone lesions.

Study design: double-blind placebo controlled 6 months intervention study followed by a 6 months open-label study.

Study population: Patients over 18 years old with an established diagnosis of FD/MAS on the basis of characteristic clinical and imaging features and persistent pain at the site of lesions with a maximum pain score of ≥4/10 as measured by the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS).

Inclusion criteria

- Being symptomatic with an established diagnosis of FD/MAS and closed growth plates (>18 years)

- Pain in the region of an FD localization, not responding to adequate pain treatment and without mechanical component e.g. impending fracture

- Pain score from FD lesion for maximum or average pain on VAS ≥ 4
 Increased lesional activity defined as increased bone turnover markers (ALP, P1NP or CTX) or increased activity on Na18F-PET/CT or bone scintigraphy in at least one lesion
- Normal levels of calcium, parathyroid hormone and vitamin D (supplementation is allowed)
- Treated hypophosphatemia (defined as >0.7 at two separate measures)
- good dental health (last check within the last 12 months)

Exclusion criteria

- Active pregnancy wish, pregnancy or nursing
- Pain not related to FD
- Uncontrolled endocrine disease
- Untreated vitamin D deficiency, hypocalcemia or hypophosphatemia
- Previous use of bisphosphonates or Dmab < 6 months before inclusion ('6 months wash out')
- Previously reported severe side effects on Dmab
- Inability to fulfil study requirements
- Poor untreated dental health without intention to get treatment
- Treatment with other bone influencing drugs, such as high doses corticosteroids

Main study parameter/endpoint

The effect of Dmab on pain, assessed by the difference in maximum pain score after 6 months (2 injections) by Brief Pain Inventory

Secondary study parameters/endpoints (if applicable)

- Dmab effect on average pain scores after 3, 6 months of treatment and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- number of patients with 50% reduction of maximal pain (BPI) after 3, 6 months of treatment and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- Dmab effect on **quality of life**, assessed with questionaries (SF-36) at baseline, 3 months and after 6 months and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- Dmab effect on average weekly pain assessed through a pain diary with VAS score
- Dmab eefct on **Physical activity assessment** (Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index and screenshot of pedometer of activity during the last week on smartphone) measured at baseline, 3 months and 6 months, and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- to evaluate the prevalence of possible neuropathic component of the reported pain through Pain Detect questionnaire at baseline, 3 months and 6 months and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- to investigate the number of analgesics, use and dosage used at baseline, 3 months and 6 months and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- to assess the effect of Dmab on **disease activity** through laboratory measurements of bone markers at baseline, 3 months and 6 months, and in case of open label treatment after 9 and 12 months
- to assess the effect of Dmab on lesions activity and lesions size through bone scans at baseline and after 6 months, and in the case of open label treatment after 12 months
- to assess disease quantification by nuclear imaging before and after treatment (Skeletal Burden Score (SBS)
- to assess **bone density and the presence of vertebral fractures** (Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) + Vertebral Fractures Assessment (VFA) at baseline and after 12 months
- to assess potential side effects in the form of Atypical femoral fractures by performing and extended DXA after 12 months



Figure 1. Flowchart DEFiD study

Expertisecentrum Endocriene en Botaandoeningen: Betrokkenen Centrum voor Botkwaliteit

Centre for Bone Quality $\sqrt{2}$

@BotcentrumLUMC

FD Research team



PhD Students Oana Bulaicon MD Maartje Meier, MD Wouter van der Brugge, MD



Cell biologist, LUMC/AUMC Nathalie Bravenboer Marco den Helder AUMC

Former PhD students



Bastiaan Majoor Marlous Hagelstein-Rotman,,



Dr. Liesbeth Winter Internist-Endocrinoloog



Internist-Endocrinoloog



Internist-Endocrinoloog









Dr. Femke van Haalen

Afdeling Endocrinologie



Mw Lida vd Schee Doktersassistente

Mw Eva Fredriks-van Leijden

Verpleegkundig specialist Specialisatie Hypofyse

Afdeling Neurochirurgie

Afdeling Endocrinologie

Prof Nienke Biermasz

Internist-Endocrinoloog

Mw Eline Leijtens Verpleegkundig specialist

Specialisatie Hypofyse

Drs Marco Verstegen Neurochirura

Dr. Wouter van Furth Neurochirura

Oogheelkunde Drs. Stijn Meeren-Genders *Oogarts*

Pathologie: Prof J. Bovee

Afdeling Orthopedie

Dr. Pieter Bas de Witte (kinder)orthopedisch chirurg



Drs. Demien Broekhuis orthopedisch chirurg

Radiologie Dr. Ana Navas Canette MSK radioloog

Dr. Kirsten van Langevelde MSK radioloog

Dr. Monique Reijnierse MSK radioloog

Drs. Frits Smit Nucleair Geneeskundige

Dr. Dennis Vriens Nucleair Geneeskundige

Kindergeneeskunde Dr. Petra Hissink Muller



Dr. C. de Bruin Kinderendocrinoloog

Kinderreumatoloog

Kaakchirurgie

Drs. Ru-shan Goey

Kaakchirurg

Kaakchirurg

Prof Tim Fouaranzar



Dr. Hester Vlaardingerbroek Kinderendocrinoloog

International collaborators NIH, Bethesda USA: Allison Boyce, Michael Collins LYOS, INSERM France, Prof **Roland Chapurlat EuRR-Bone study group FDMAS**

